UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
KYLE HARTRY,	
Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S LOCAL RULE
-against-	<u>56.1(ъ) STATEMENT</u>
COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, SGT. "JOHN" LUNQUE Individually and in his Official Capacity, DET. VINO DALY, Individually and in his Official Capacity and C. "JOHN DOE" and C.O. 'JANE DOE'#1-10, Individual and in their Official Capacities, (the name John Doe befictitious, as the true names are presently unknown),	CE O. illy
Defendants.	v
Pursuant to Local Rule 56.1(c) of the Local Cifor the Eastern and Eastern Districts of New York response to defendants' Local Rule 56.1 Statement: 1. Admit. 2. Admit. 3. Admit. 4. Admit. 5. Admit. 6. Admit. 7. Admit. 8. Deny. 9. Admit.	
10. Admit.	

11.	Admit.
12.	Admit.
13.	Admit.
14.	Admit.
15.	Admit.
16.	Admit.
17.	Admit.
18.	Admit.
19.	Admit.
20.	Admit.
21.	Admit.
22.	Deny. There was no altercation. Hartry was suddenly and viciously attacked by Dalton
without an provocation by plaintiff. (same)	
23.	Deny. There was no altercation. Hartry was suddenly and viciously attacked by Dalton
without an provocation by plaintiff. (same)	
24.	Admit.
25.	Admit.
26.	Admit.
27.	Admit.
28.	Admit.
29.	Admit.
30.	Admit.

Dated: New York, New York July 29, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Jon L. Norinsberg (JA 2183) Attorney for Plaintiff 225 Broadway Suite 2700 New York, N.Y. 10007

(212) 791-5396